

Comment Set DD.1: Santa Clarita Public Meeting (August 29th, 2:30PM)

0002

2 DRAFT EIR/EIS PUBLIC MEETING
3 SANTA CLARITA, CALIFORNIA
4
5
6
7 PUBLIC HEARING TO REVIEW)
8)
8 DRAFT EIR/EIS FOR)
9)
9 ANTELOPE-PARDEE TRANSMISSION)
10)
10 PROJECT)
11)
12 _____)
13
14
15

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

0003

1 SANTA CLARITA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, AUGUST 29, 2006
2 2:30 P.M. - 4:30 P.M.
3 -oOo-
4

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

0004

1 It looks like this. It's designed as a
2 self-mailer; so you just fold it in half on the back,
3 staple it, and then put a stamp on it and send it in.

4 You can also e-mail us a comment through the
5 information that's on the notice of preparation -- or
6 notice of availability, I should say, not preparation.
7 And that information is on that document as well.

8 So with that, we only have one speaker card, I
9 believe. So if anyone wants to -- decides to speak
10 while this gentleman is speaking, that's fine.

11 So, Brian Smith, come on up and make a comment.

12 MR. SMITH: My name is Brian Smith. I'm a
13 resident of the community by the name of Belcaro that is
14 on the west side of McBean, south of Copper Hill. It's
15 in between the San Francisquito Creek area and McBean.

16 And in this area, we have a new community of
17 275 homes that were just built in the last couple of
18 years. A good portion of the community is adjacent to
19 the right-of-way in between mile -- I think it's 22.3
20 and 25.6, the first portion of that section.

21 And about a year ago, we visited Rio Norte. We
22 had a public presentation there. They showed some
23 proposals of what they were gonna do. And one of the
24 things that came up was using single-pole towers.

25 And I don't think the EIR has addressed the --
0005

1 the visual impact of any one of the alternatives through
2 that area.

3 Alternative 1 and 3 both would create adverse
4 visual impact. And replacing the existing 220-volt --
5 kilovolt lines with a 500 kilovolt single tower, or
6 single-pole tower, I think would be very, very positive
7 towards the community.

8 A lot of the people in the community have their
9 front of their homes facing the right-of-way. And it
10 would be very adverse to have any one of the proposals
11 that I've seen through that area. It would be very
12 negative. And I don't think the EIR has addressed that.
13 So I would like to see them address it.

14 And the second thing is the EMF fields created
15 by the alternatives. In looking at the EIR, it has not
16 addressed the -- the specific patterns of the EMF
17 fields, the electromagnetic fields, on the neighborhoods
18 that are directly adjacent to that transmission line
19 right-of-way in that area.

20 They have addressed it very well with the
21 initial proposal. But for the alternatives 1 and 3,
22 they do not address the cross-section of the EMF fields
23 and how it will affect people that are living in the
24 adjacent properties.

25 That's it. Thank you.

0006

1 MR. BRITT: Thank you.

2 Would anyone else like to make a public
3 comment?

4 Okay. Well, we want to thank you again for
5 coming today. We are going to have having another

DD.1-1

DD.1-2

6 meeting tonight at 6:30 in the same location. So that
7 will be the third meeting.
8 And then we'll have another meeting tomorrow
9 night. And that meeting is located at Aqua Dulce. And
10 you're welcome to attend that as well if you'd like.
11 So thank you very much for coming.
12 We will be sticking around for a little while
13 afterwards maybe before grabbing a bite to eat before
14 our meeting tonight.
15 And so if you would like to continue any
16 discussions you started here in the Q & A, please feel
17 free to do so.
18 All right?
19 Thank you very much.
20
21
22
23
24
25

0007

1 REPORTER CERTIFICATE

2
3 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
4 Reporter within and for the State of California, do
5 hereby certify:
6 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
7 me at the time and place herein set forth; that a
8 verbatim record of the proceedings was made by me using
9 machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under
10 my direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate
11 transcription thereof.
12 I further certify that I am neither financially
13 interested in the action nor a relative or employee of
14 any attorney of any of the parties.
15 IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have this date subscribed
16 my name.

17
18 Dated: _____
19
20
21
22

CATHERINE FOX
CSR NO. 12494

Response to Comment Set DD.1: Santa Clarita Public Meeting (August 29th, 2:30PM)

- DD.1-1 As discussed in Section C.15, the proposed Project and all alternatives (including Alternatives 1 and 3) would result in significant visual impacts. Please note that the Project would not replace 220-kV lines. The proposed Project and all alternatives would remove the existing 66-kV line along the Saugus-Del Sur utility corridor, and would replace existing single-circuit 500-kV towers with double-circuit 500-kV towers between Mile 20.3 to Mile 22.3, except for Alternative 3. Alternative 3 would not replace the existing single-circuit 500-kV towers between Mile 20.3 and Mile 22.3, but would place new single-circuit 500-kV towers in the vacant position within the existing Pardee-Vincent ROW.
- DD.1-2 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding electric and magnetic field (EMF) concerns associated with the proposed Project and alternatives.